

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,031	03/11/2004	Takao Maeda	035576/275466	6003
826	7590 03/20/200	5	EXAMINER	
	& BIRD LLP	WILKINS III, HARRY D		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ΓE, NC 28280-4000	,	1742 DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			O				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/798,031	MAEDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.4 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 13-15 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are:	_	o by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		, ,	(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/631,491.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	• •						
application from the International Burea	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/04.	6) Other:	and the second of the second					

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeshita et al (JP 06-228611).

Takeshita et al anticipate the invention as claimed. Takeshita et al teach (see English abstract and machine translation) a method of making a hydrogen storage alloy of the MmNi₅ type (which has a CaCu₅ crystal structure), wherein 0.2-5.0 wt% of a metal is added to the alloy melt after the alloy melt has been formed. Mg is expressly disclosed on the list.

Regarding the fact that the hydrogen storage alloy powder is subjected to a further heat treatment which vaporizes the added Mg, nothing in Applicant's claims exclude further processing steps.

Regarding claim 14, Takeshita et al disclose using the Mg with a MmNi₅ hydrogen storage alloy where at least a portion of the Ni was replaced by Co. The Mg is added to the melt after the initial melting step.

Regarding claim 15, Takeshita et al teach using pure metal to add the addition agent to the melt.

Art Unit: 1742

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yanagihara et al (JP 60-250557).

Yanagihara et al teach (see abstract) making a hydrogen storage alloy that has the general formula LaNi_xCo_yM_z. The overall composition has a formula that would make the crystal structure to be of the CaCu₅ type. The alloy was formed by melting (see page 4 of translation provided in parent application). Among the disclosed metals for M, Yanagihara et al expressly disclose that Mg is suitable. The subscript for M, z, is between 0 and 1. Thus, Yanagihara et al disclose adding a small amount of Mg to the melt. Therefore, Yanagihara et al disclose adding Mg to the alloy in an amount of 0.1 to 1.0 wt%.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagihara et al (JP 60-250557).

The teachings of Yanagihara et al are described above.

Since Yanagihara et al do not expressly teach an example containing between 0.1 and 1.0 wt% Mg, it may be considered that Yanagihara et al do not anticipate claim 13. As such, the Examiner further rejects claim 13, based on the fact that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have optimized the amount of Mg

Art Unit: 1742

present in the alloy in order to minimize the battery pressure at the final stage of charging which was the effect of the M element as taught by Yanagihara et al (see page 3 of translation).

Regarding claim 14, the melt further included Ni and Co. Yanagihara et al teach (see page 4 of translation) that all of the constituents were added to a furnace and melted together. Thus, Yanagihara et al fail to teach adding the Mg after the melt was formed. However, a change in the order of adding ingredients has been held to be obvious absent evidence of unexpected results. See MPEP 2144.04.IV.C.

Regarding claim 15, Yanagihara et al teach adding metals in there metallic form to the melt.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry D. Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 571-272-1251. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V. King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harm D Wilkins, III

Examiner

Art Unit 1742

hdw